

**Agenda – Council – July 13, 2016**

**Report – Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works –  
June 28, 2016**

**Item No. 2                    2016 Capital Surplus Funds Locations**

**STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:**

On July 6, 2016, the Executive Policy Committee concurred in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works and submitted the following to Council:

1.        That the projects listed in the Attachment be approved for the 2016 Regional and Local Street Renewal Program in the event of surplus funds being identified.
2.        That the Director of Public Works be delegated the authority to prioritize amongst the listed projects depending on the amount of surplus available.
3.        That the proper officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the intent of the foregoing.

**Agenda – Council – July 13, 2016**

**Report – Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works – June 28, 2016**

DECISION MAKING HISTORY:

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On July 6, 2016, the Executive Policy Committee concurred in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works and submitted the matter to Council.

STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On June 28, 2016, the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works concurred in the recommendation of the Winnipeg Public Service and submitted the matter to the Executive Policy Committee and Council.

## ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

**Title:** 2016 CAPITAL SURPLUS FUNDS LOCATIONS

**Critical Path:** STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON INFRASTRUCTURE RENEWAL AND PUBLIC WORKS – EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE – COUNCIL

### AUTHORIZATION

Author	Department Head	CFO	CAO
B. Neirinck, P. Eng.	L.P. Deane, P. Eng.	n/a	D. McNeil

### RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the projects listed in the Attachment be approved for the 2016 Regional and Local Street Renewal Program in the event of surplus funds being identified.
2. That the Director of Public Works be delegated the authority to prioritize amongst the listed projects depending on the amount of surplus available.
3. That the proper officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the intent of the foregoing.

### REASON FOR THE REPORT

The approval of individual projects is required from the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works.

The projects listed in the Attachment are to be funded by the 2016 Regional and Local Street Renewal program, adopted by Council on March 22, 2016.

Council approval is required to delegate authority to the Director of Public Works to prioritize projects that will move forward depending on the surplus funding that may be available.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At this time the Administration is not projecting a surplus in the 2016 Regional and Local Street Renewal Program. In the event surplus funds are identified, a list of projects needs to be approved so that the tendering process can begin quickly. All new projects using surplus funds require approval from the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works. Delegation of authority to the Director of Public Works to prioritize projects requires Council approval.

## IMPLICATIONS OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The Attachment lists the Regional streets and sidewalks/paths recommended for renewal in 2016. In the event bid prices are higher than estimated, the Director of Public Works will determine which locations would be deferred to 2017. In the event that surplus funding accrues upon advertising the projects, it is recommended that the Director of Public Works be delegated authority to identify new projects utilizing surplus funds in the 2016 Regional and Local Street Renewal Program.

## HISTORY / DISCUSSION

The following were taken into consideration in developing the list of recommended locations for renewal:

- The most recent ratings from the Pavement Condition Rating system were used.
- The locations are programmed by need across the entire City.
- The locations and treatments are such that the engineering work required is small so that construction could begin quickly.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

**Financial Impact Statement**      **Date:**                      June 9, 2016

### **Project Name:**

### **2016 CAPITAL SURPLUS FUNDS LOCATIONS**

#### **COMMENTS:**

There is no financial impact associated with the recommendation of this report.

"Original signed by D. Stewart, CA"  
D. Stewart, CA  
Manager of Finance & Administration

## CONSULTATION

**In preparing this Report there was consultation with:**      N/A

## OURWINNIPEG POLICY ALIGNMENT

08-3a Tools to Support the Implementation of a Sustainable Transportation System:  
Major Street Network:

Tool 2: Continue support for an asset management program to identify and prioritize key areas of short, medium, and long term maintenance.

**SUBMITTED BY**

Department: Public Works  
Division: Engineering  
Prepared by: R. D. Hamilton, P. Eng., Asset Management Engineer  
Date: June 9<sup>th</sup>, 2016

Attachment: 2016 Capital Surplus Funds Locations

**ATTACHMENT - 2016 Capital Surplus Funds Locations**

<b>Bound</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>	<b>Treatment</b>
Both	Logan	Fountain	Bushnell	Thick Overlay
Both	Salter	Dufferin	Mountain	Thick Overlay
Both	Salter	St. John's	Cathedral	Thick Overlay
North	Stradbrook	Wellington	Osborne	Thick Overlay
South	St. Anne's	Mager	Varennes	Thick Overlay
North	Plessis	Kildare	Devonshire	Mill and Fill

**2016 Sidewalk Locations -- Surplus Funds**

	<b>Street</b>	<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>	
	Clarence	Marshall	Waverley	
	Chevrier	Trottier	Waverley	
	Murray Park	Moray	Sturgeon	
	Mountain	Fife	Sheppard	
	Sheppard	Redwood	Inkster	
	Church	Bunting	Keewatin	
	Logan	Omands Creek	King Edward	